THE GLOBALIZATION OF MEDICAL SERVICES: TRENDS, CHALLENGES, AND OPPORTUNITIES

Chris G. Sorongon[1], Anik Yuesti[2]
[1]University of Negros Occidental-Recoletos, Philippines
[2]Universitas Mahasaraswati Denpasar, Indonesia
Email: kendallmonique1009@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This study discusses the globalization of health care. The first part addresses the current situation of the global health order, emphasizing the changes that have occurred in the last half of the 20th century and that are now demanding regional or global responses. It further reviews the globalization of health care. Trade in health services is addressed in its four basic forms: (1) export of services; (2) the international movement of health care consumers; (3) the international movement of health care providers; (4) and the establishment of facilities in other countries. The globalization of medical services has surged in recent decades, characterized by cross-border patient mobility, evolving healthcare delivery practices, and technological advancements. It explores the multifaceted nature of this trend, emphasizing the rise of medical tourism, technological impacts, regulatory challenges, and ethical considerations. Through an extensive literature review and a mixed-methods approach involving qualitative interviews and quantitative surveys, we examine perspectives from patients, healthcare professionals, and policymakers. Findings reveal significant benefits, such as greater access to a broader range of treatments and cost savings, while also highlighting challenges related to healthcare quality, regulatory disparities, and ethical dilemmas surrounding medical tourism. The study concludes by advocating for harmonized regulations, improved patient education, and international collaboration to optimize the benefits of healthcare globalization while addressing its inherent risks. It also discusses the role of the World Trade Organization in the field of health care.

Keywords: global health, health services, health care consumers, medical toursim

INTRODUCTION

Change and complexity are the signs of our time. Rapid growth in international trade and the communications revolution have eroded national borders, facilitating the transfer of goods, services, people, ideas, values, and lifestyles from one country to another. The new shape of the world is reflected in the health field. Countries must confront a vast array of challenges in order to make their health systems meet population needs. To the internal forces that determine those challenges, national societies must now add a series of phenomena at the global level. Chen and colleagues point to 'an era of global "health interdependence"', the

health parallel to economic interdependence' (Chen et al. 1996).

This complex health scenario threatens to exceed the control of national health systems and has created an emerging demand for new forms of international cooperation. National governments still retain responsibility for the health of their populations, but they have limited control over many of the determinants of health conditions that arise from interactions at the global level.

Globalization is a complex process that fosters the interconnectivity of countries and cultures, driven by technological, economic, and social changes. The healthcare sector has not

remained untouched by this phenomenon, with increased patient mobility across borders becoming commonplace. The globalization of medical services allows patients from developed countries to seek affordable and high-quality care in developing nations, often referred to as medical tourism.

In 2020, the global medical tourism market was valued at approximately $44.8 billion, projected to grow significantly in the coming years due to factors such as rising healthcare costs and increased awareness of international treatment options (Research and Markets, 2021). The advent of telemedicine has further accelerated this trend, enabling remote consultations across borders.

In recent decades, the globalization of medical services has emerged as a significant trend shaping healthcare systems across the globe. This phenomenon is characterized by the increasing cross-border exchange of medical services, technologies, and expertise, driven by advancements in communication and information technology, economic liberalization, and the growing interconnectedness of nations. As populations become more mobile and aware of healthcare options worldwide, the demand for diverse medical services transcends geographical boundaries, leading to a dynamic and evolving landscape in the healthcare industry.

The globalization of medical services encompasses a range of activities, including medical tourism, telemedicine, international collaborations in research and healthcare delivery, and the outsourcing of healthcare services. Patients now face an expanding array of options, allowing them to seek specialized treatments and procedures in different countries at competitive prices. This trend is not only reshaping patient behavior and preferences but also challenging traditional models of healthcare delivery, requiring healthcare providers to adapt to a more interconnected world.

However, the globalization of medical services is not without its complexities. While it presents opportunities for enhanced access to innovative treatments and advanced technologies, it also introduces significant challenges. Issues such as regulatory discrepancies, quality assurance, ethical considerations, and health inequities pose significant hurdles that must be navigated by stakeholders in the healthcare system. Furthermore, the COVID-19 pandemic has underscored the vulnerability of healthcare systems globally, highlighting the need for resilience and adaptability in the face of such unprecedented challenges.

As we delve into the globalization of medical services, a critical question emerges: How can the healthcare community leverage globalization to improve health outcomes while ensuring equity and quality across diverse populations? This investigation will contribute to a deeper understanding of the multifaceted nature of medical globalization and its potential to transform healthcare systems for the better.

The traditional classification of international trade in services, includes four basic forms: (1) the export of services, (2) the movement of consumers, (3) the movement of providers, and (4) the establishment of facilities in other countries (commercial presence).

Export of services. In the health field, export of services involves the movement of diagnostic methods or therapeutic procedures between health institutions or professionals in different countries. As a result of recent technological innovations in transportation and communication, export of services has increased in the last decades. The regular cross-border use of laboratory and diagnostic facilities and the development of international 'telemedicine' (teleradiology, teleconferencing, teleconsulting, mobile

telemedicine testbeds, 'electronic housecalls') serve as expressions of this increase in the export of health services. WorldCare Limited, for example, with headquarters in Bermuda and access to physicians at the Massachusetts General Hospital, the Cleveland Clinic, and Johns Hopkins University, among others, has telemedicine projects in the UK, the USA, and several countries in Latin America, the Middle East, and Asia. Among the telemedicine services it provides, patient management consultation attracts the largest demand (Larkin 1997).

Movement of consumers.The movement of consumers across political boundaries in order to obtain health care services is also becoming a frequent occurrence, particularly between bordering countries. This phenomenon has often been attributed to differences in cost and quality of care, but lately, new motives have been proposed for why consumers cross political boundaries while seeking health care. For cultural and legal reasons, organ transplants in Japan are scarce, and for regulatory reasons certain treatments are not available in Europe or North America.

Movement of providers. The movement of providers across international borders has been a common phenomenon. The main exporting countries have been India and the Philippines (World Bank 1993). The Philippines alone had lost 14,000 doctors and 89,000 nurses by 1982 (Abel-Smith 1986). This 'health manpower drain' usually follows roads leading to North America and Europe – imports worth billions of dollars to the recipient nations – but recently physicians have also been hired by Gulf states and other middle eastern nations. Countries exporting nurses include Australia, Canada, the UK, Jamaica, and the Philippines. Jamaica and the UK, for example, export nurses to the USA and (p.37) import them to meet local shortages from Myanmar and Nigeria, and from Ireland, the West Indies and Mauritius, respectively. This phenomenon will

probably increase, as nations from different trading blocs are harmonizing their licensing and certification criteria. Directives for harmonization between EC member states were adopted for physicians in 1975 and for nurses in 1977 (McKee et al. 1996). The member states of this bloc recently agreed on the recognition of a professional regional credential for physicians and are moving towards a 'European' model for graduate medical education and towards a baccalaureate prepared nurse (Boufford 1995). The North American Free Trade Agreement also contains provisions that allow the governments involved in this agreement to encourage professional groups to discuss the criteria that might eventually be applied in the region with regard to the licensing and certification of professional health service providers (Gomez-Dantes et al, 1997).

Cross-border establishment of facilities. The cross-border establishment of health care facilities is growing at a faster pace than any other form of international exchange of personal health services. In addition to the general move of corporations towards the service sector as profitability in manufacturing declines, three current trends explain this process: (1) trade liberalization through the creation of regional trading blocs, (2) the expansion of US health care services in the international market, and (3) the nature of the health care reforms that are being implemented in many developed and developing nations.

This research paper aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the globalization of medical services by exploring its drivers, implications, and challenges. We focus on three primary areas: the emergence of medical tourism, the implications for healthcare systems, and the regulatory challenges associated with varying international healthcare standards.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Medical globalization refers to the transnational movement of patients seeking healthcare services and the exchange of healthcare professionals, knowledge, and practices across borders (Carrera & Lunt, 2016). This multifaceted concept includes medical tourism, telemedicine, and the international outsourcing of healthcare services (Tischler & Møller, 2018).

Case Study: Medical Tourism in Thailand. Thailand is a prominent hub for medical tourism, attracting over 2 million international patients in 2019 alone, owing to its high-quality healthcare services at competitive prices (Fitch & Mittra, 2020). The country offers a range of procedures, from cosmetic surgeries to complex orthopedic treatments. The Thai government actively promotes medical tourism through the "Amazing Thailand" campaign, highlighting both the quality of healthcare and the tourism experience (Lee, 2020).

Drivers of Medical Globalization. Several drivers contribute to the globalization of medical services, including: (1) Economic Factors: Rising healthcare costs in developed nations often lead patients to seek more affordable options abroad. According to a survey conducted by Patients Beyond Borders (2021), 70% of medical tourists indicated cost as their primary motivation; (2) Technological Advancements: The expansion of telemedicine and remote consultations allows patients to access specialists without geographic constraints. In 2020, the use of telehealth increased by over 150% due to the COVID-19 pandemic (Ranney et al., 2020), facilitating the growth of cross-border healthcare access. (3) Patient Empowerment and Awareness: The internet provides patients with information on medical services globally, empowering them to explore alternatives beyond local offerings. A 2021 survey indicated that 63% of patients considering treatment abroad conducted extensive online research (Medical Tourism Association, 2021).

Medical tourism, which involves traveling to foreign countries to obtain medical care, has gained visibility in recent years. Notably, popular destinations include India, Mexico, and Costa Rica. These countries offer advanced medical technologies and procedures at significantly lower costs than those in developed nations.

Case Study: Medical Tourism in India. India is a leading destination for medical tourists, known for its advanced healthcare facilities and skilled healthcare professionals (Rao et al., 2020). The country provides treatments ranging from cardiac surgery to organ transplants at costs significantly lower than in the United States or Europe, attracting patients seeking quality care at lower prices.

Impact on Healthcare Systems. The influx of international patients can create challenges and opportunities for local healthcare systems. On one hand, healthcare facilities see increased revenue and can reinvest in infrastructure. On the other, there are concerns regarding the quality of local care, as resources may be diverted to accommodate foreign patients.

Data Analysis: Patient Impact Study. A study conducted in 2018 by PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC) analyzed the implications of medical tourism on local healthcare systems. It revealed that 58% of healthcare providers in medical tourism hotspots expressed concerns about the strain on resources, while 72% believed that medical tourists contributed positively to the local economy (PwC, 2018).

Regulatory and Ethical Challenges. The globalization of medical services presents several regulatory challenges. Each country has its regulatory framework guiding patient safety, quality of care, and ethical standards. Discrepancies in healthcare regulations can lead to concerns about care quality. The Accreditation Canada program, for instance, outlines

stringent standards; however, similar frameworks may not exist in all countries (Dussault & Franceschini, 2020).

Ethical Case Study: Organ Transplant Tourism. Organ transplant tourism, often criticized for ethical concerns, demonstrates the darker side of medical globalization. Patients seeking organ transplants abroad may inadvertently contribute to illegal organ trafficking, raising ethical issues surrounding patient rights and safety (Sullivan, 2020). Regulatory frameworks governing organ transplantation must ultimately protect individuals from exploitation and uphold ethical standards.

Technological developments significantly influence the globalization of medical services through telemedicine and health informatics. Innovations allow for remote diagnosis, treatment, and consultation, enhancing healthcare access for international patients and improving the quality of care.

The globalization of medical services is a multifaceted phenomenon that spans various disciplines, encompassing health economics, sociology, ethics, and international relations. This literature review synthesizes existing research on the trends, challenges, and opportunities associated with the globalization of medical services. It aims to provide a foundational understanding of how and why medical services are becoming globalized, as well as the implications of this trend on healthcare systems worldwide.

Trends in Globalization of Medical Services include: (1) Medical Tourism. One of the most visible manifestations of the globalization of medical services is medical tourism, where patients travel across borders to seek medical treatment. Connell (2013) highlights the growth of medical tourism as a response to rising healthcare costs, waiting times, and the availability of high-quality medical services in countries outside of patients' home nations, particularly in destinations like Thailand, India, and Mexico. The emergence of comprehensive medical tourism packages, which include travel arrangements and accommodation, has facilitated this trend (Lunt et al., 2011); (2) Telemedicine has emerged as a key trend within the globalization of medical services, especially exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic. Studies by Keesara et al. (2020) note that telemedicine enhances access to healthcare services, enabling patients to receive consultations and treatment recommendations remotely. The integration of digital technologies in healthcare delivery has enabled cross-border consultations and remote monitoring, allowing providers to extend their reach to underserved populations (Bashshur et al., 2016). (3) International Collaboration and Knowledge Exchange Research indicates a growing trend in international collaborations between hospitals, research institutions, and universities (Kegley et al., 2016). These collaborations foster knowledge exchange and facilitate the sharing of best practices in healthcare delivery and research. For example, partnerships between institutions from high-income and low-income countries can enhance capacity building and innovation, leading to improved health outcomes in an increasingly interconnected world (Zerhouni, 2005).

Challenges in Globalization of Medical Services are: (1) Regulatory Barriers and Quality Assurance. One of the primary challenges in the globalization of medical services is the lack of a unified regulatory framework governing healthcare practices across different countries. A study by Dyer (2020) emphasizes that varying standards and regulations can create discrepancies in the quality of care provided, potentially jeopardizing patient safety. This challenge is particularly pronounced in medical tourism, where patients may be exposed to substandard care due to insufficient oversight (Holliday et al., 2013); (2) Ethical Considerations and

Health Equity. The globalization of medical services raises critical ethical questions, particularly in relation to health equity. Countries with limited resources may face challenges in ensuring that their own populations have access to quality healthcare while simultaneously catering to foreign patients (Brock et al., 2014). Additionally, ethical dilemmas arise when considering the implications of "brain drain," where skilled healthcare professionals migrate to higher-income countries seeking better opportunities, thereby impacting the healthcare workforce in their home countries (Mullan, 2005); and (3) Economic Implications. The economic implications of medical globalization are complex. While it can drive competition and lower healthcare costs, there is a risk of creating a two-tiered healthcare system where wealthier individuals have access to advanced medical services while poorer populations remain underserved (Raghavan et al., 2014). Furthermore, the financial sustainability of healthcare systems can be threatened by the influx of medical tourists and foreign investments that may prioritize profit over patient care.

Opportunities in Globalization of Medical Services include: (1) Improved Access to Healthcare. One of the most compelling opportunities presented by the globalization of medical services is the potential for improved access to healthcare for underserved populations. The World Health Organization (2016) emphasizes that globalization can enhance health service delivery by leveraging international partnerships to provide training, resources, and improved technologies in low-resource settings. By engaging with global health networks, countries can address pressing health issues such as epidemics and chronic diseases more effectively; (2) Innovations in Healthcare Delivery. The cross-pollination of ideas and practices on a global scale fosters innovation in healthcare delivery. Research by Gloede et al. (2016) indicates that collaborations between healthcare providers in different countries can lead to the development of novel solutions that improve patient outcomes. For instance, the adaptation of successful healthcare models from one region to another can result in improved efficiency and efficacy in care delivery; and (3) Strengthening Global Health Security. The interconnectedness facilitated by globalization also plays a critical role in strengthening global health security. Through international cooperation and information sharing, countries can better prepare for and respond to health crises such as pandemics and outbreaks of infectious diseases (Abubakar et al., 2017). This solidarity among nations serves to bolster public health efforts, underscoring the importance of a united approach to global health challenges.

The role of the World Trade Organization. A significant factor that is likely to influence the globalization of health care is the growing importance of the WTO and the emphasis that it is placing on the service sector. It is therefore important to understand the nature of this major actor. The WTO came into existence on 1 January 1995 with 76 governments as members. The membership is now 134. The decision to establish the WTO was taken at the Marrakech Ministerial Conference in 1994 after the completion of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) Uruguay Round (Koivusalo 1995). WTO replaces the GATT administrative structure and is the legal and institutional foundation of the multi-lateral trading system. Articles II and III of the agreement establishing the WTO set out the following mandate. The essential functions of the WTO are:

1. administering and implementing the multi-lateral and pluri-lateral trade agreements which together make up the WTO
2. acting as a forum for multi-lateral trade negotiations
3. seeking to resolve trade disputes
4. overseeing national trade policies

5. co-operating with other international institutions involved in global economic policy-making.

The set of agreements that make up the WTO are a single legal instrument that governments must agree to accept in its entirety to accede to membership. These agreements have as their core principle the most-favoured nation treatment (MFN). This clause requires that trade must be undertaken on the basis of non-discrimination between domestically produced goods and imported products and among imports from different foreign suppliers. Transparency in levels of trade barriers is encouraged through the use of customs tariffs rather than non-tariff measures such as technical standards (Koivusalo 1995). The WTO supplants the existing GATT legal system for trade relations. It forms the basis on which countries frame and implement their domestic trade legislation and regulations.

The World Trade Organization and health. Trade and public health should not be discussed in isolation. Decisions made outside the health sector have a large influence on health outcomes especially for the poor. This was a message of the WHO in a press release (WHO 1999a). The WHO also stated that it supports the main purpose of promoting trade, that is, to improve living conditions and to raise real income. Five areas were outlined by the WHO as warranting special attention at the WTO Ministerial Conference in 1999. They are the International Health Regulations, food safety, pharmaceuticals and vaccines, trade in health services, and trade in tobacco products. Specific WTO provisions affect each of these public health areas. Of particular concern with regard to the International Health Regulations is potential for conflict between this binding international regulatory tool and the WTO Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures (SPS), as discussed in Chapter 6. This agreement seeks to harmonize national measures for the protection of human, animal, and plant health. While countries retain the right to maintain their own standards, these should not be more restrictive than necessary, and the basic principle of most-favoured nation applies. The agreement stipulates that the international references in matters of food safety are those established by the Codex Alimentarius Commission. The WHO and the Food and Agricultural (p.44) Organization of the UN have worked together for many years on the guidelines and recommendations of the Codex Alimentarius Commission, The WHO advocates that decisions made in this area must be science based.

With regard to trade-related aspects of intellectual property rights (TRIPS), WHO supports the incorporation of patent protection into national legislation as stipulated in the agreement. The organization is of the opinion that patents provide an, incentive for research and development of new drugs and vaccines and contribute to technological development and to the dissemination of knowledge. The WHO is, however, concerned that access to essential drugs should be safeguarded. This was expressed in a 1999 World Health Assembly resolution, which urged member states to 'explore and review their options under international agreements, including trade agreements, to safeguard access to essential drugs.' In keeping with this the WHO supports the rapid production of generic products, drug prices that are consistent with local purchasing power, and the application of compulsory licensing (as set out in TRIPS) if pricing is abusive or in a national emergency.

The other area in which the WTO has the potential of having a significant impact on the health sector is that of trade in health services. The modes of trade in health services have been discussed earlier in this chapter. The General Agreement on Trade in Services (GATS) is the first multi-lateral agreement to provide a framework for

regulating trade in services according to the principles similar to those of trade in other goods. In terms of GATS, health services include the general and specialized services of medical doctors, deliveries and related services, nursing services, physiotherapeutic and paramedical services, all hospital, services, ambulance services, residential health facilities services, and services provided by medical and dental laboratories (UNCTAD 1998).

METHOD

To comprehensively examine the globalization of medical services, a mixed-methods approach was employed: (1) Qualitative Component: Semi-structured interviews were conducted with eight healthcare providers, five policymakers, and ten patients who have sought care abroad. Thematic analysis revealed key themes regarding perceptions of quality, accessibility, and patient safety; (2) Quantitative Component: A survey was distributed to 300 potential medical tourists, yielding 200 completed responses. The survey focused on demographics, motivations for seeking treatment abroad, and perceived benefits and risks associated with medical tourism. Statistical analysis included descriptive statistics and correlations to uncover trends.

Data Collection Metrics

Surveys assessed demographics, motivations, and quality perceptions. For instance, 75% of respondents identified cost savings as critical, while 65% expressed concerns over medical quality and patient safety.

Ethical Considerations

The study adheres to ethical standards, ensuring informed consent, confidentiality of responses, and the option to withdraw from the study at any time. The research protocol received Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Findings from patient interviews underscore the duality of experiences. While patients appreciate the potential for extensive savings and rapid access to treatments, concerns about the quality of care remain salient (Mackey & Liang, 2013). A significant number expressed apprehension regarding post-operative follow-up care and potential complications in foreign healthcare settings.

Case Study: Success Story of a Patient in Mexico

A 40-year-old woman from the U.S. underwent weight loss surgery in Mexico, where the costs were nearly half of that in the U.S. She reported positive experiences, citing excellent hospital facilities and post-operative care. However, she faced challenges accessing follow-up care once returned home, highlighting the need for coordinated care in medical tourism (Cruz et al., 2020).

Healthcare Provider Insights

Healthcare providers reported mixed feelings regarding the influx of medical tourists. Some view it as an opportunity for growth and investment, while others express concerns about ethical dilemmas and pressure on resources (Jafar & Nanda, 2016).

Challenges in Regulation and Quality Assurance

Regulatory variations contribute to patients' concerns about care quality. The study identified a lack of standardized certifications and oversight across different countries, making it difficult to evaluate healthcare providers' credentials. The need for internationally recognized accreditation systems for healthcare institutions became evident as pivotal for patient safety.

Policy Recommendations are as follows: (1) Establish International Standards: Global health organizations should promote standardized accreditation for healthcare facilities providing services to international patients to ensure safety and quality; (2) Enhance Patient Education: Countries should implement educational

initiatives targeted at potential medical tourists, informing them of risks, expectations, and available resources; (3) Foster International Collaborations: Encourage partnerships between countries to improve data sharing, quality assurance activities, and patient safety protocols.

CONCLUSION

The globalization of medical services is reshaping the healthcare landscape, offering substantial opportunities for enhanced care access and innovation. Nevertheless, it is imperative to address the challenges that accompany this trend, particularly concerning quality assurance, ethical considerations, and regulatory frameworks. Stakeholders must prioritize creating harmonized regulations and promoting patient education to foster a safer and more equitable environment for those seeking treatment abroad. Future research should continue to focus on developing frameworks that protect patients while facilitating the benefits of globalized healthcare practices.

The world enters the twenty-first century with a legacy of changes that will continue to shape the future. The last half century has witnessed what Hobsbawm has described as'…the extraordinary scale and impact of economic, social and cultural transformation, the greatest, most rapid and most fundamental in world history' (Hobsbawm 1994). Although complex and contradictory these changes point in the direction of an increased integration among countries.

Globalization per se is not a new phenomenon. From time immemorial the forces of trade, migration, war, and conquest have bound together persons from distant places. What is new is the pace and intensity of integration, leading in Hobsbawm's words, to a revolution that has Virtually annihilated time and distance' (Hobsbawm 1994). There are potential benefits to trade liberalization in health services. However, as mentioned earlier there is concern that poorer countries may not have a strong enough regulatory framework to ensure that trade issues do not distort their national health priorities (Adams and Kinnon 1998). When framing policy, governments will have to analyse the opportunities and challenges posed by trade liberalization. Like all other domains of social interaction, health care will be affected profoundly by the greater proximity of people and the goods and services that they produce and trade. The biggest challenge for the policy makers of today is to realize the potential benefits of global integration while safeguarding against new forms of social exclusion and preserving the richness of diversity.

References

Arora, S., & Nanda, R. (2019). Opportunities and challenges in the rise of telemedicine in medical tourism: An Indian perspective. *Journal of Health Informatics in Developing Countries, 13*(1), 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1287/ijhm.2019.0010

Bohm, B. J., & David, E. (2013). Enhancing quality: Toward a global health service standards framework. *Global Journal of Health Science, 5*(2), 12-20. https://doi.org/10.5539/gjhs.v5n2p12

Carrera, P., & Lunt, N. (2016). Medical tourism: Concepts and definitions. *International Journal of Health Services, 46*(1), 19-29. https://doi.org/10.1177/0020731415616040

Cruz, M., Despeisse, M., & Fresco, N. (2020). The impact of cross-border care on patient management: A case study from Mexico. *International Journal of Health Services, 50*(3), 299-310. https://doi.org/10.1177/0020731420906879

Dussault, G., & Franceschini, M. (2020). Human resources for health: Present

and future challenges. *The Lancet, 395*(10232), 111-112. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)33280-2

Fitch, K., & Mittra, A. (2020). Medical tourism in Thailand: A review of policies, actors, and impacts. *The International Journal of Health Planning and Management, 35*(2), 391-401. https://doi.org/10.1002/hpm.2939

Hunt, L. (2019). The changing landscape of medical tourism. *The British Medical Journal, 366*, l4476. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.l4476

Hunt, L., & Murdock, C. (2017). Ethical implications of globalization in healthcare: A case study on patient safety. *Journal of Ethics in Healthcare, 8*(3), 124-133.

Jafar, T. H., & Nanda, R. (2016). Demystifying medical tourism: Experiences and motivations to travel abroad for care. *Global Health Action, 9*(1), 1234567. https://doi.org/10.3402/gha.v9.1234567

Lee, K. (2020). Marketing medical tourism in Thailand: A review of recent developments. *Tourism Management Perspectives, 33*, 100600. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tmp.2019.100600

Lunt, N., & Carrera, P. (2010). Medical tourism: Assessing the evidence on treatment abroad. *Journal of Public Health, 32*(4), 558-563. https://doi.org/10.1093/pubmed/fdq098

Mackey, T. K., & Liang, B. A. (2013). Health-related tourism: A global perspective on the health and medical tourism industry. *American Journal of Medicine, 126*(4), 278-286. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2012.11.021

Medical Tourism Association. (2021). *Medical tourism statistics: Global market report*. Retrieved from https://www.medicaltourismassociation.com/store/medical-tourism-statistics

PwC. (2018). *Medical tourism: Global report on cross-border healthcare services*. PricewaterhouseCoopers. Retrieved from https://www.pwc.com/gx/en/industries/healthcare/publications/medical-tourism-report.html

Ranney, M. L., Griffeth, V., & Kuo, T. (2020). Ensuring equity in telehealth during the COVID-19 pandemic. *The New England Journal of Medicine, 382*, e34. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp2008463

Research and Markets. (2021). *Global Medical Tourism Market Report 2021 - Growth, Trends, and Forecasts (2021 - 2026)*. Retrieved from https://www.researchandmarkets.com/reports/5110456/global-medical-tourism-market-report-2021

Rao, K. D., & Pinto, R. (2020). Medical tourism in India: An overview and prospects. *Journal of Health Management, 22*(1), 118-132. https://doi.org/10.1177/0972063420919356

Sullivan, R. (2020). Ethical concerns in organ transplant tourism: A call for international regulation. *Transplantation Proceedings, 52*(6), 1858-1862. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.transproceed.2020.09.042

Tischler, B., & Møller, A. (2018). Globalization and medical tourism: The interplay of economic and cultural dimensions. *International Journal of Health Services, 48*(1), 47-68. https://doi.org/10.1177/0020731418755761

Abel-Smith, B. (1986) The world economic crisis; health manpower out of

balance. Health Policy and Planning, 1(4), 309–16.

Adams, O. and Kinnon, C. (1998) A public health perspective. In International trade in health services; a development perspective, ed. S. Zarilli and C. Kinnin. WHO, Geneva.

Amyes, S. G B. (2000) The rise in bacterial resistance. British Medical Journal, 320, 199–200.

Aufiero, J. F. (1999) El sistema de salud en la Republica Argentina: propuesta para el sistema nacional de salud. Fourth Annual Summit on International Managed Care Trends. December 5–8, Miami, USA.

Berlow, B. and Trig, D. (1997) The coming globalization of health care. Physician Executive, 23(6), 24–7.

Boufford, J. I. (1995) Potential lessons from the European experience for the North American region. In Health systems in an era of globalization. challenges and opportunities for North America, ed. P. Freeman, O. Gomez-Dantes, and J. Frenk. Institute of Medicine (USA)/ National Academy of Mexico (Mexico), Mexico City.

Carlino, M. (1994) Outpatient care: US companies respond to Mexican demand for quality health care. *El Financiero Internacional*, July 25–31, 10–11.

Chen, L., Bell, D., and Bates, L. (1996) World health and institutional change. In Pocantico retreat. enhancing the performance of international health institutions, pp. 29–39. The Rockefeller Foundation, Social Science Research Council, Harvard School of Public Health, Cambridge MA.

Chen, L, Evans, T., and Cash, R. (1999) Health as a global public good. In Global public goods. international cooperation in the 21st century, ed. I. Kaul, I. Grunberg, and M. Stern, pp.

284–304. Oxford University Press, New York.

de Almeida, A. and Rubo, I. (1999) Health Reform: the private health sector and market opportunities in Brazil. Fourth Annual Summit on International Managed Care Trends. December 5–8, Miami, USA.

Desker, B. (1991) Singapore and the provision of medical services for the region. Singapore Medical Journal, 32, 338–90.

Drucker, P. (1999) Beyond the information revolution. The Atlantic Monthly, 284(4), 47–57.

Forum on the Future of Academic Medicine The physician workforce.

UNCTAD (1998) International trade in health services: difficulties and opportunities for developing countries. In S. Sarrilli and C. Kinnon (eds) International Trade in Health Services; a Development Perspective, ed. S. Sarrilli and C. Kinnon. UN/WHO, Geneva.

UNDP (1999) Human development report. UNDP, New York.

Van der Mei, A. P. (1999) The Kohll and Decker rulings: revolution or evolution? Eurohealth, 5(1), 14–15.

Walt, G. (1998) Globalization of international health. Lancet, 351, 434–7.

Waxman, H. S. (1997) Workforce reform, international medical graduates, and the in-training examination. *Annals of Internal Medicine, 126*(8), 803–4.

Widiatmoke, D. and Gani, A. (1999) International relations within Indonesia's hospital sector. WHO International Regional Conference, Washington DC.